



Paper No. 11

Pfizer Inc.  
150 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street  
5<sup>th</sup> Floor - Stop 49  
New York, NY 10017-5612

**COPY MAILED****JUL 09 2004**

In re Application of  
James G. Boyd, et. al.  
Application No. 10/075,686  
Filed: February 14, 2002  
Attorney Docket No. PC23001A

**OFFICE OF PETITIONS****ON PETITION**

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b), filed May 21, 2004, to revive the above-identified application.

The above-identified application became abandoned for failure to reply in a timely manner to the non-final Office action mailed May 16, 2003, which set a shortened statutory period for reply of three (3) months. No extensions of time under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a) were obtained. Accordingly, the above-identified application became abandoned after midnight August 16, 2003.

In response, petitioner submitted with the instant petition \$1,330 for the petition to revive fee, and an amendment.

It is not apparent whether the statement of unintentional delay was signed by a person who would have been in a position of knowing that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional. Nevertheless, in accordance with 37 CFR 10.18, the statement is accepted as constituting a certification of unintentional delay. However, in the event that petitioner has no knowledge that the delay was unintentional, petitioner must make such an inquiry to ascertain that, in fact, the delay was unintentional. If petitioner discovers that the delay was intentional, petitioner must so notify the Office.

Since there is no indication that the person signing the instant petition was ever given a power of attorney or authorization of agent to prosecute the above-identified application, in accordance with 37 CFR 1.34(a), the signature appearing on the petition shall constitute a representation to the United States Patent and Trademark Office that he/she is authorized to represent the particular party in whose behalf he/she acts. However, if petitioner desires to receive future correspondence regarding this application, the appropriate power of attorney or authorization of agent must be submitted. A courtesy copy of this decision is being mailed to petitioner. Nevertheless, all future correspondence regarding this application file will be directed solely to the address of record until otherwise instructed.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

The application file is being forwarded to Technology Center Art Unit 1654, for review of the amendment filed with the instant petition.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to the undersigned at (703) 308-6711.

Andrea Smith  
Petitions Examiner  
Office of Petitions  
Office of the Deputy Commissioner  
for Patent Examination Policy

CC: Mark J. Cohen  
Scully, Scott, Murphy & Presser  
400 Garden City Plaza  
Garden City, NY 11530